

Proving Correctness via Free Theorems

The Case of the destroy/build-Rule

Janis Voigtländer

Technische Universität Dresden

PEPM'08

Short Cut Fusion [Gill et al. 1993]

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{sum } [] = 0$
 $\text{sum } (x : xs) = x + \text{sum } xs$

Problem: Expressions like

$\text{sum } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10)$

involve creating and consuming an intermediate list.

Short Cut Fusion [Gill et al. 1993]

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{sum } [] = 0$
 $\text{sum } (x : xs) = x + \text{sum } xs$

Problem: Expressions like

$\text{sum } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10)$

involve creating and consuming an intermediate list.

Solution:

1. Write fromTo in terms of build .
2. Write sum in terms of foldr .
3. Use the following $\text{foldr}/\text{build}$ -rule:

$\text{foldr } c \ n \ (\text{build } \textit{prod}) \rightsquigarrow \textit{prod } c \ n$

The Dual of Short Cut Fusion [Svenningsson 2002]

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{zip } [] \quad [] = []$
 $\text{zip } (x : xs) (y : ys) = (x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys$

Problem: Expressions like

$\text{zip } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10) (\text{fromTo } 'a' 'j')$

involve **two** intermediate lists.

The Dual of Short Cut Fusion [Svenningsson 2002]

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{zip } [] \quad [] = []$
 $\text{zip } (x : xs) (y : ys) = (x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys$

Problem: Expressions like

$\text{zip } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10) (\text{fromTo } 'a' \ 'j')$

involve **two** intermediate lists.

Solution:

1. Write fromTo in terms of unfoldr .
2. Write zip in terms of destroy .
3. Use the following destroy/unfoldr -rule:

$\text{destroy } \text{cons } (\text{unfoldr } \text{psi } e) \rightsquigarrow \text{cons } \text{psi } e$

Why a destroy/build-Rule?

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
 where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
 else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{zip } [] \quad [] = []$
 $\text{zip } (x : xs) (y : ys) = (x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys$

Problem: What if we have

$\text{zip } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10) (\text{build } \text{prod})$

where the producer of the second intermediate list
cannot be expressed in terms of `unfoldr`?

Why a destroy/build-Rule?

Example: $\text{fromTo } n \ m = \text{go } n$
where $\text{go } i = \text{if } i > m \text{ then } []$
else $i : \text{go } (\text{succ } i)$

$\text{zip } [] \quad [] = []$
 $\text{zip } (x : xs) (y : ys) = (x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys$

Problem: What if we have

$\text{zip } (\text{fromTo } 1 \ 10) (\text{build } \text{prod})$

where the producer of the second intermediate list
cannot be expressed in terms of `unfoldr`?

After fusion:

$\text{destroy } (\lambda psi \ xs \rightarrow \text{zipD } (\lambda i \rightarrow \text{if } i > 10 \ \dots) \ psi \ 1 \ xs)$
 $\quad (\text{build } \text{prod})$
where $\text{zipD} = \dots$

A destroy/build-Rule, How?

By the definitions,

$$\text{destroy } \text{cons } (\text{build } \text{prod})$$

is the same as

$$\text{cons match } (\text{prod } (:) [])$$

where

data Maybe $\alpha = \text{Nothing} \mid \text{Just } \alpha$

match $:: [\alpha] \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (\alpha, [\alpha])$

match $[] = \text{Nothing}$

match $(x : xs) = \text{Just } (x, xs)$

A destroy/build-Rule, How?

By the definitions,

$$\text{destroy } \text{cons } (\text{build } \text{prod})$$

is the same as

$$\text{cons } \text{match } (\text{prod } (:) [])$$

where

data Maybe $\alpha = \text{Nothing} \mid \text{Just } \alpha$

$\text{match} :: [\alpha] \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (\alpha, [\alpha])$

$\text{match } [] = \text{Nothing}$

$\text{match } (x : xs) = \text{Just } (x, xs)$

Why, then, not simply

$$\text{destroy } \text{cons } (\text{build } \text{prod})$$

\rightsquigarrow

$$\text{cons } \text{id } (\text{prod } (\lambda x \text{ xs} \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, \text{xs})) \text{ Nothing}) \text{ ?}$$

Does it Preserve Semantics?

All we know about *cons* and *prod* are their types:

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2$$

and

$$\mathit{prod} :: \forall \beta. (T_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta$$

But that might be enough, thanks to free theorems [Wadler 1989]!

In the following, a proof sketch.

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2$$

is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{R} \subseteq \tau_1 \times \tau_2$, \mathcal{R} strict, continuous, and bottom-reflecting.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2)$.

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}. (p\ x, q\ y) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, \mathcal{R})))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (z, v) \in \mathcal{R}. \mathit{cons}\ p\ z = \mathit{cons}\ q\ v$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2$$

is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{R} \subseteq \tau_1 \times \tau_2$, \mathcal{R} strict, continuous, and bottom-reflecting.

$$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}. (p\ x, q\ y) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, \mathcal{R})))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (z, v) \in \mathcal{R}. \mathit{cons}\ p\ z = \mathit{cons}\ q\ v$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{match}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\cdot)\ \square)$$

=

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{id}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\lambda x\ xs \rightarrow \text{Just}\ (x, xs))\ \text{Nothing})$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (\mathsf{T}_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \mathsf{T}_2$$

is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{R} \subseteq \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \mathcal{R}$ strict, continuous, and bottom-reflecting.

$$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (\mathsf{T}_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (\mathsf{T}_1, \tau_2).$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}. (p\ x, q\ y) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, \mathcal{R})))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (z, v) \in \mathcal{R}. \mathit{cons}\ p\ z = \mathit{cons}\ q\ v$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{match}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\cdot)\ \square)$$

=

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{id}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\lambda x\ xs \rightarrow \text{Just}\ (x, xs))\ \text{Nothing})$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2$$

is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{R} \subseteq \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \mathcal{R}$ strict, continuous, and bottom-reflecting.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$

$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$

$\wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}. (p\ x, q\ y) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, \mathcal{R})))$

$\Rightarrow \forall (z, v) \in \mathcal{R}. \mathit{cons}\ p\ z = \mathit{cons}\ q\ v$

Recall that we want to prove

$\mathit{cons}\ \text{match}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\cdot)\ \square)$

=

$\mathit{cons}\ \text{id}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\lambda x\ xs \rightarrow \text{Just}\ (x, xs))\ \text{Nothing})$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \tau_1. (p\ x, q\ (f\ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall y :: \tau_1. \mathit{cons}\ p\ y = \mathit{cons}\ q\ (f\ y)$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{match}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\cdot))\ []$$

=

$$\mathit{cons}\ \text{id}\ (\mathit{prod}\ (\lambda x\ xs \rightarrow \text{Just}\ (x, xs))\ \text{Nothing})$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\text{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \tau_1. (p \ x, q \ (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f)))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall y :: \tau_1. \text{cons } p \ y = \text{cons } q \ (f \ y)$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\text{cons match } (\text{prod } (:)) \ []$$

=

$$\text{cons id } (\text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \ \text{Nothing})$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\mathit{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \tau_1. (p \ x, q \ (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f)))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall y :: \tau_1. \mathit{cons} \ p \ y = \mathit{cons} \ q \ (f \ y)$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\mathit{cons} \ \text{match} \ (\text{prod} \ (\cdot) \ [])$$

=

$$\mathit{cons} \ \text{id} \ (\text{prod} \ (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just} \ (x, \ xs)) \ \text{Nothing})$$

Where to Start?

The free theorem for

$$\text{cons} :: \forall \beta. (\beta \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \beta)) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow T_2,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$\forall p :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_1), q :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{Maybe } (T_1, \tau_2).$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \tau_1. (p \ x, q \ (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f)))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall y :: \tau_1. \text{cons } p \ y = \text{cons } q \ (f \ y)$$

Recall that we want to prove

$$\text{cons match } (prod \ (:)) \ []$$

=

$$\text{cons id } (prod \ (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \ \text{Nothing})$$

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (:) []) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \text{ Nothing}$

(Note that the condition $\text{match} \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id} \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [\mathbb{T}_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (:) []) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs))$ Nothing

(Note that the condition $\text{match } \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id } \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

The free theorem for

$$\text{prod} :: \forall \beta. (\mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta$$

is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{R} \subseteq \tau_1 \times \tau_2, \mathcal{R}$ strict, continuous, and bottom-reflecting.

$$\forall p :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1, q :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2.$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \mathbb{T}_1. (p \ x \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \ x \neq \perp))$$

$$\wedge \forall (y, z) \in \mathcal{R}. (p \ x \ y, q \ x \ z) \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \mathcal{R}. (\text{prod } p \ v, \text{prod } q \ w) \in \mathcal{R}$$

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [\mathbb{T}_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (:) []) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs))$ Nothing

(Note that the condition $\text{match } \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id } \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

The free theorem for

$$\text{prod} :: \forall \beta. (\mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$$\forall p :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1, q :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2.$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \mathbb{T}_1. (p \ x \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \ x \neq \perp))$$

$$\wedge \forall y :: \tau_1. f (p \ x \ y) = q \ x (f \ y))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall z :: \tau_1. f (\text{prod } p \ z) = \text{prod } q (f \ z)$$

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [\mathbb{T}_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (\cdot) []) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \text{ Nothing}$

(Note that the condition $\text{match } \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id } \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

The free theorem for

$$\text{prod} :: \forall \beta. (\mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$$\forall p :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1, q :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2.$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \mathbb{T}_1. (p \ x \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \ x \neq \perp))$$

$$\wedge \forall y :: \tau_1. f (p \ x \ y) = q \ x (f \ y))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall z :: \tau_1. f (\text{prod } p \ z) = \text{prod } q (f \ z)$$

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [\mathbb{T}_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (\cdot) []) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \text{ Nothing}$

(Note that the condition $\text{match } \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id } \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

The free theorem for

$$\text{prod} :: \forall \beta. (\mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$$\forall p :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1, q :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2.$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \mathbb{T}_1. (p \ x \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \ x \neq \perp))$$

$$\wedge \forall y :: \tau_1. f (p \ x \ y) = q \ x (f \ y))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall z :: \tau_1. f (\text{prod } p \ z) = \text{prod } q (f \ z)$$

How to Continue?

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [\mathbb{T}_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $f (\text{prod } (\cdot) \ \square) = \text{prod } (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \ \text{Nothing}$

(Note that the condition $\text{match } \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \text{id } \neq \perp$ is trivially fulfilled.)

The free theorem for

$$\text{prod} :: \forall \beta. (\mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta,$$

specialized down to function level, is:

$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, f :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f$ strict and total.

$$\forall p :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1, q :: \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2.$$

$$(p \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \neq \perp)$$

$$\wedge (\forall x :: \mathbb{T}_1. (p \ x \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow q \ x \neq \perp))$$

$$\wedge \forall y :: \tau_1. f (p \ x \ y) = q \ x (f \ y))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall z :: \tau_1. f (\text{prod } p \ z) = \text{prod } q (f \ z)$$

Almost There

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $\forall x :: T_1, y :: [T_1]. f \ ((:) \ x \ y) = (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \ x \ (f \ y)$
4. $f \ \square = \text{Nothing}$

(Note that the “ $\neq \perp$ ”-conditions are again trivially fulfilled.)

Almost There

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $\forall x :: T_1, y :: [T_1]. f \ ((:) \ x \ y) = (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, xs)) \ x \ (f \ y)$
4. $f \ [] = \text{Nothing}$

(Note that the “ $\neq \perp$ ”-conditions are again trivially fulfilled.)

The last two conditions leave no room other than to consider:

$$\begin{aligned} f \ [] &= \text{Nothing} \\ f \ (x : y) &= \text{Just } (x, f \ y) \end{aligned}$$

Almost There

All we need is a function f such that:

1. f is strict and total
2. $\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f))$
3. $\forall x :: T_1, y :: [T_1]. f ((\cdot) \ x \ y) = (\lambda x \ xs \rightarrow \text{Just } (x, \ xs)) \ x \ (f \ y)$
4. $f \ [] = \text{Nothing}$

(Note that the “ $\neq \perp$ ”-conditions are again trivially fulfilled.)

The last two conditions leave no room other than to consider:

$$\begin{aligned} f \ [] &= \text{Nothing} \\ f \ (x : y) &= \text{Just } (x, f \ y) \end{aligned}$$

This f is strict and total!

Almost There

2. $\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(,)}(\text{id}, f))$?

$$\begin{aligned} f [] &= \text{Nothing} \\ f (x : y) &= \text{Just } (x, f \ y) \end{aligned}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \\ \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f x_2 = y_2\}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \\ \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match } [] & = \text{Nothing} & f [] & = \text{Nothing} \\ \text{match } (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, y) & f (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, f y) \end{array}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f \ x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match } [] & = \text{Nothing} & f [] & = \text{Nothing} \\ \text{match } (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, y) & f (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, f \ y) \end{array}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \\ \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f \ x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match } [] & = \text{Nothing} & f [] & = \text{Nothing} \\ \text{match } (x : y) = \text{Just } (x, y) & & f (x : y) = \text{Just } (x, f \ y) & \end{array}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \\ \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f \ x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match } [] & = \text{Nothing} & f \ [] & = \text{Nothing} \\ \text{match } (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, y) & f \ (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, f \ y) \end{array}$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f \ x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f \ x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:

$$\text{match } [] = \text{Nothing}$$

$$\text{match } (x : y) = \text{Just } (x, y)$$

$$f [] = \text{Nothing}$$

$$f (x : y) = \text{Just } (x, f \ y)$$

Finishing Up

We have:

$$\text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)) = \{(\perp, \perp), (\text{Nothing}, \text{Nothing})\} \cup \\ \{(\text{Just } x_1, \text{Just } y_1) \mid (x_1, y_1) \in \text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)\}$$

$$\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f) = \{(\perp, \perp)\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \mid x_1 = y_1 \wedge f x_2 = y_2\}$$

To establish

$$\forall x :: [T_1]. (\text{match } x, \text{id } (f x)) \in \text{lift}_{\text{Maybe}}(\text{lift}_{(\cdot)}(\text{id}, f)),$$

we check against the definitions:




$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{match } [] & = \text{Nothing} & f [] & = \text{Nothing} \\ \text{match } (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, y) & f (x : y) & = \text{Just } (x, f y) \end{array}$$

Done!

Conclusion

- ▶ The destroy/build-rule holds unconditionally.
- ▶ Part of the proof work was push-the-button.
- ▶ The remainder was very much goal-driven.
- ▶ The approach scales to other transformation rules as well.
- ▶ Sascha Böhme implemented a great tool!
- ▶ Go play with it:
`http://linux.tcs.inf.tu-dresden.de/~voigt/ft/`

References

-  A. Gill, J. Launchbury, and S.L. Peyton Jones.
A short cut to deforestation.
In Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture, Proceedings, pages 223–232. ACM Press, 1993.
-  J. Svenningsson.
Shortcut fusion for accumulating parameters & zip-like functions.
In International Conference on Functional Programming, Proceedings, pages 124–132. ACM Press, 2002.
-  P. Wadler.
Theorems for free!
In Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture, Proceedings, pages 347–359. ACM Press, 1989.